FACTS AND CIRCUMSTANCES


2. On 26 April 2022, the steam generation from GENR1 increased as the boiler load increased. To minimise steam bypass, the operator planned to channel the steam to the generator. To reflect the increase in electricity generation, the operator had intended to submit an offer variation for GENR 1 for period 46 to increase the offer quantity. However, the operator submitted the wrong offer quantity in the offer variation submission at 21:12 hrs (P43).

3. The operator realised the error after receiving a reminder call from the Power System Operator ("PSO") at approximately 21:30 hrs (P44). The operator immediately submitted another offer variation for period 46 at 21:45 (P44) to correct the error of the previous offer submission. Such offer variation was submitted after gate closure.

4. The offer variation submitted after gate closure for period 46 was not allowed as it did not fall under any of the exemptions under section 10.4.1.1 of Chapter 6 of the Market Rules.

5. On 4 July 2022, the MSCP wrote to inform Senoko WTE that it considered it to be in prima facie breach of section 10.4.1 of Chapter 6 of the Market Rules for period 46 on 26 April 2022 and invited Senoko WTE to submit written representations by 15 July 2022.
6. On 11 July 2022, Senoko WTE did not submit written representations and indicated that a hearing was not required.

APPLICABLE MARKET RULES

7. Section 10.4.1 of Chapter 6 provides that

Notwithstanding sections 5.1.5, 5.1.6 and 5.1.7, no offer variation or revised standing offer shall be submitted by or for a market participant within 65 minutes immediately prior to the dispatch period to which the offer variation or revised standing offer applies, except:

10.4.1.1 where it is intended:

a. for a generation registered facility, to reflect its expected ramp-up and ramp-down profiles during periods following synchronisation or preceding desynchronisation; or

b. for a generation registered facility, to reflect its revised capability for the three consecutive dispatch periods immediately following a forced outage or its failure to synchronise; or

c. for an import registered facility, to reflect its revised capability for the three consecutive dispatch periods immediately following a forced outage of the interties connecting the import registered facility to the transmission system; or

d. to contribute positively to the resolution of an energy surplus situation pertaining to which the EMC has issued an advisory notice under section 9.3.1, by allowing for decreased supply of energy; or

e. to contribute positively to the resolution of energy, reserve or regulation shortfall situations pertaining to which the EMC has issued advisory notices under section 9.3.1, by allowing for increased supply of energy, reserve or regulation; or

f. to contribute positively to the resolution of energy, reserve or regulation shortfall situations in that dispatch period, where:

i. the shortfall situations were indicated in a system status advisory notice issued by the EMC in respect of a high-risk operating state or emergency operating state declared by the PSO; and

ii. at the time of submission of such offer variation or revised standing offer, the EMC has not yet withdrawn, in respect of that dispatch period, such system status advisory notice, by allowing for increased supply of energy, reserve or regulation; and

g. for a load registered facility, to reflect its revised capability during a forced outage or following a decrease in energy withdrawal under sections 9.3.3 and/or 9.3.4 of Chapter 5; and
10.4.1.2 where the price so offered, other than for additional quantities of energy, reserve or regulation, is the same as that previously offered for that dispatch period.

ENFORCEMENT

8. Based on the facts referred to above, the MSCP determined that Senoko WTE had breached section 10.4.1 of Chapter 6 of the Market Rules for period 46 on 26 April 2022.

9. The incident was self-reported and did not have a significant impact on the National Electricity Market of Singapore.

10. The MSCP hereby issues a letter of non-compliance to Senoko WTE and directs Senoko WTE to pay costs fixed at $2,000. The MSCP reminds Senoko WTE to observe the Market Rules and take appropriate corrective measures to prevent future recurrences.

Professor Walter Woon
Chairman, Market Surveillance and Compliance Panel